MIDVALE CITY COUNCIL MEETING
AGENDA
June 5, 2018

PUBLIC NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Midvale City Council will hold a regular meeting on the 5th day of June 2018 at Midvale City Hall, 7505 South Holden Street, Midvale, Utah as follows:

6:30 PM
INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

I. DEPARTMENT REPORTS

II. CITY MANAGER BUSINESS

7:00 PM
REGULAR MEETING

III. GENERAL BUSINESS
A. WELCOME AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
B. ROLL CALL
C. Humane Society of Utah Presentation
D. Salt Lake County Animal Report

IV. PUBLIC COMMENTS
Any person wishing to comment on any item not otherwise scheduled for public hearing on the agenda may address the City Council at this point by stepping to the microphone and giving his or her name for the record. Comments should be limited to not more than three (3) minutes unless additional time is authorized by the Governing Body. Citizen groups will be asked to appoint a spokesperson. This is the time and place for any person who wishes to comment on non-hearing, non-agenda items. Items brought forward to the attention of the City Council will be turned over to staff to provide a response outside of the City Council meeting.

V. COUNCIL REPORTS
A. Councilmember Paul Glover
B. Councilmember Quinn Sperry
C. Councilmember Bryant Brown
D. Councilmember Paul Hunt
E. Councilmember Dustin Gettel

VI. MAYOR REPORT
A. Mayor Robert M. Hale

VII. PUBLIC HEARING
A. Public Hearing regarding the Midvale City Fiscal Year 2019 Budget beginning July 1, 2018 and ending June 30, 2019 including salaries for Statutory and Elective Officers, employees and other positions within the City as well as the FY2019 Midvale City Municipal Fee Schedule [Laurie Harvey, Asst. City Manager/Admin Services Director]
B. Public Hearing regarding Enterprise funds for FY2019 for Midvale City [Laurie Harvey, Asst. City Manager/Admin. Services Director]

C. Consider Cottages on 7th Preliminary Subdivision Plat located at 7575/7601 South 700 East [Alex Murphy, Associate Planner]

**ACTION:** Approve Cottages on 7th Preliminary Subdivision Plat located at 7575/7601 South 700 East

**VIII. CONSENT AGENDA**
A. Consider Minutes of May 15, 2018 [Rori Andreason, H.R. Director/City Recorder]

**IX. ACTION ITEM**
A. Consider Resolution No. 2018-R-27 Authorizing the Mayor to enter into the Jordan Bluffs Subarea 4 Development Agreement [Lesley Burns, City Planner]

B. Discussion and Action Regarding Resolution No. 2018-R-28 Authorizing the Execution of an Interlocal Agreement between the Redevelopment Agency of Midvale City and Midvale City for the Transfer of Property Located at 7697 and 7555 South Main. [Matt Dahl, RDA Director]

**X. DISCUSSION ITEM**
A. Discuss Resolution authorizing certain individuals to make changes to Midvale City’s Public Treasures Investment Fund (PTIF) account [Dalin Hackett, Asst. Finance Director]

B. Discuss Wireless and Telecommunications Franchise agreement with Crown Castle [Lisa Garner, City Attorney]

**XI. ADJOURN**

*In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, Midvale City will make reasonable accommodations for participation in the meeting. Request assistance by contacting the City Recorder at 801-567-7207, providing at least three working day notice of the meeting. TTY 711*

A copy of the foregoing agenda was provided to the news media by email and/or fax. The agenda was also posted at the following locations on the date and time as posted above: City Hall Lobby, on the City’s website at [www.midvalecity.org](http://www.midvalecity.org) and the State Public Notice Website at [http://pmn.utah.gov](http://pmn.utah.gov). Council Members may participate in the meeting via electronic communications. Council Members’ participation via electronic communication will be broadcast and amplified so other Council Members and all other persons present in the Council Chambers will be able to hear or see the communication.

**PLEASE MAKE SURE ALL CELL PHONES ARE TURNED OFF DURING THE MEETING**

**DATE POSTED:** JUNE 1, 2018

Rori L. Andreason, MMC
H.R. DIRECTOR/CITY RECORDER
Mayor Robert M. Hale

Council Member Quinn Sperry
Council Member Paul Glover - Excused
Council Member Paul Hunt
Council Member Bryant Brown
Council Member Dustin Gettel

Kane Loader, City Manager; Laurie Harvey, Asst. City Manager/Admin. Services Director; Rori Andreasen, H.R. Director/City Recorder; Lisa Garner, City Attorney; Matt Dahl, Redevelopment Agency Director; Christopher Butte, Economic Development Director; Laura Magness, Communications Specialist; Lesley Burns, City Planner; Alex Murphy, Associate Planner; Annaliese Eichelberger, RDA Project Manager; Chief Randy Thomson, UPD; Chief Brad Larson, UFA; and Jake Shepherd, Network Administrator.

Mayor Hale called the meeting to order at 6:33 p.m.

I. INFORMATIONAL ITEMS
A. DEPARTMENT REPORTS

Chief Randy Thomas discussed several incidents. He discussed the cleanup of the Jordan Riverbed. On May 30 through June 1 they had a valley-wide multi agency sting. He reviewed the stats of the sting with the council, which included multiple drug busts and arrests. Chief Thomas said he is working the good landlord reports by district. Kane Loader said the Chief is also going to work on the good landlord program study from when it started in 2010 to now. That will show how it has been turned around to where the multi family calls are close to the single family in the landlord program.

Chief Brad Larson reviewed the run data for April. Station 125 and 126 call volume is on top with medical and fire calls for the entire district. He said the involvement the community outreach has had in the schools has been great. He will be getting more information on the activities they have been doing so he can present it at the next council meeting. He said the budget is wrapping up and is very open and transparent. Also, Fire 101 training has been held. He will let everyone know when the next one is scheduled. Several cities have asked for information between a three and four handed crew. Fire 101 really explains that well. They prefer the four handed crew over the three handed crew because the risk is less with more hands. The wildland crews are ready for the season. They have been training with other agencies.
Laurie Harvey said she attended a meeting a week ago with UPD to go over the budget with Herriman City withdrawing. A meeting is scheduled with the finance directors and city managers in June to discuss the budget. We are also working with our lobbyist to find out what we need to do with our application to the state regarding a grant related to the shelter.

Brian Berndt said Mode Pizza will be opening on Friday. The Hash House is set for June 20, 2018 to open.

Keith Ludwig updated the Council on projects that are underway.

Kane Loader said Larry Wright asked to be excused due to medical issues. He said the bulky waste program has run into a few bugs, but Ace Disposal and staff are working through those. Ace Disposal is very committed to making this program work. There was also a recent flooding incident on a canal. A water user pulled the head gate and flooded some basements. The canal company will be taking care of those claims.

Council Member Bryant Brown said a resident who has to trim a very large tree decided to take the branches to the landfill on a Saturday rather than dealing with the bulky waste program. He said the city needs to work on getting the residents more excited about the bulky waste program.

Council Member Dustin Gettel asked how the noticing was being handled? Kane Loader said Ace is tagging the loads and notifying Public Works about the streets they were able to pick up. Council Member Dustin Gettel said we need to push notices out when streets are missed.

Matt Dahl said tomorrow is housing day with an open house at 4:30 to 6:30 p.m. to provide residents the opportunity to give feedback. Patrick O’Brien will also be presenting to the Community Council tomorrow evening about the housing plan. He said work has started on Tuscany Road putting in the street light.

Rori Andreason reviewed the dates for upcoming Council meetings. June 12th workshop will be cancelled as well as July 3rd. A meeting will be held on July 17th. If a need arises for an additional meeting in July, one will be scheduled.

Lisa Garner said her discussion item on the agenda for Crown Castle needs to be tabled since she has not heard from them.

II. CITY MANAGER’S REPORT
Kane Loader said staff has been working on the UPD budget issue. There is no significant change to the budget this year. The board is working on a better formula for the pooled services for next year. He has been working with the legislatures for additional funding with Salt Lake County for the Bingham Junction Blvd. They met with Rocky Mountain Power last week. The property on Holden Street that they own is up for sale. He also reported that the crosswalk projects are scheduled.

Laura Magness said the first Harvest Days meeting was held on May 22nd. The Arts Council will be handling the afternoon stage entertainment. Suzanne Walker will be handling the entertainment in the park during the day. The opening act will be Junction 89, and the Bellamy Brothers. They
are currently seeking vendors. The website will be updated with Harvest Days activities. Council Member Dustin Gettel discussed fees for the vendors.

III. GENERAL BUSINESS
   A. Welcome and Pledge of Allegiance

   B. Roll Call - Council Members Paul Hunt, Quinn Sperry, and Dustin Gettel and Bryant Brown were present at roll call. Council Member Paul Glover was excused.

   C. Humane Society of Utah Presentation
   Gene Barishment from the Humane Society was present to discuss an ordinance they would like the council to consider. This ordinance would not allow pet stores to sell any cats, dogs, or rabbits unless they are from the shelter or rescue group. Sandy City has adopted this ordinance. Most stores get their animals from backyard breeders and puppy mills. Many times, there are problems with these animals.

   Deann Shepherd said currently there are no pet stores in Midvale City. She said it would be good timing to have the ordinance in place, before you have any animal stores come into the city. She discussed the importance of having an ordinance in place.

   The City Council was in favor of looking at this ordinance for adoption. Staff will put it on the next agenda for consideration.

   D. Salt Lake County Animal Control Report
   Carrie Seibert, SL County Animal Control, was present with Officer Melanie Bennett who was named Officer of the Year. Melanie Bennett, was nominated for the award for helping a passerby with lawn mower fire in Magna in May 2017.

   Officer Bennett noticed smoke while on a call & upon investigating she discovered the fire had spread to the surrounding bushes & fence leading to a chicken coop. Officer Bennett called over the radio to have Unified Fire Authority respond to the fire. In the meantime, she grabbed her fire extinguisher & helped prevent the fire from spreading. Due to Officer Bennett’s diligence & quick response helped prevent any major damage to the home & the chickens were unharmed.

   Officer Bennett has over 19 yrs. of experience in Animal Control & has been with Salt Lake County Animal Services for 7 of those years. She comes from a family of Animal Control Officers & has extensive knowledge of animal control protocol & livestock

   Recently Officer Bennett was dispatched on an “Animal in Distress” (AN26) call. Through the fence she observed a German Shepherd caught in the trampoline netting hanging from his flanks cutting off his circulation. She learned from the caller the dog had been in this condition for at least an hour. With the gate locked & after attempting to contact the owners unsuccessfully she reached out for immediate assistance from UPD.

   As a team they quickly took action. One of the UPD officers leaped the fence unlocking it & the team was able to cut the dog from the net. Officer Bennett immediately started massaging the dog to encourage blood flow; however, it was clear he needed urgent care. She alerted Oquirrh Hills
Veterinary Clinic & was able to get a hold of the owners. Together Officer Bennett & UPD rushed the dog to the vet. The vet staff were fully prepared for their arrival & went straight to work stabilizing the pup. We learned later that “Sitka” is expected to make a full recovery & although very sore was able to walk out of the vet’s office.

Animal Services not only wants to commend Officer Bennett for her compassion & experience that helped save Sitka’s life but also to thank the UPD Officers for their assistance & dedication.

As 2018 kicks off, Salt Lake County Animal Services has hit the ground running with a busy quarter! Our devoted staff found forever homes for over 700 animals through adoptions & rescue partners this quarter. Because of this tremendous effort, our live release rate this quarter is 93.4%.

She said they are always striving to improve our service with unique & innovative programs. One program she wanted to highlight that is unique to their agency & has a drastic impact on the community is the Adoption Follow-up Program. This adoption program includes adoption counseling, training & resources, & a follow-up process that helps foster a life-long commitment amongst adoption families. Through this follow up process, they can proactively help residents resolve struggles & provide resources to make lasting adoptions. Since the beginning of this program, they have seen a decrease in the pets returned to the shelter & are matching animals with families that suit their lifestyles making it a more pleasant experience for all involved. This is just one of the many programs they have that sets their service apart from others & really enhances the quality of life for our residents & their pets.

Some other programs that she wanted to highlight was their newly renovated court-ordered Community Service Program. This new program gives them the opportunity to focus on the skills of applicants & bring in higher quality assistance while also providing a valuable job skill for that service provider. Another program they are proud of is the Protective Custody Program. This unique program is an essential resource for victims of domestic violence that are referred to them by the appropriate agencies within their service areas. As these vulnerable individuals overcome hurdles in their delicate situations, they can count on them to care for their furry loved ones during the transition. They can assist with up to 5 dogs &/or 5 cats at a time within a 30-day time frame. This allows victims to focus on achieving a healthy & safe status before reuniting with their loved companions.

Their dedicated employees are extremely capable of handling unique cases. They recently handled a high-profile abandonment situation in late January. Though this call for help had its difficulties, it is a shining example of how their employees are dedicated to our Animal Services’ mission & the dedication they have for their community. As the lead agency in this case, they coordinated with Unified Police, Code Enforcement, Public Information Officers, & the Utah Division of Wildlife. They surveyed & retrieved over 200 animals in a two-day period. These animals ranged from snakes, birds, chameleons, dogs, & a multitude of other species. Due to their dedicated & benevolent staff & community support, they have been able to quickly place these animals & provide them the medical care & attention they needed for a positive outcome. Due to the abundant experience of their field officers, they could easily handle these animals & create impeccable legal documentation for the enforcement of this case.
Finally, they meet earlier this year with all their service areas liaisons & are working diligently to coordinate their new Advisory Committee. Once this new ordinance is finalized, each of the areas they serve will be much more actively involved in their services & decisions regarding their operations. They are excited to share with you all the great things they do & provide you with more control over the partnership. She thanked the Council for allowing them to serve all the four-legged & two-legged residents in the community.

She reviewed the statistics within the Salt Lake County and Midvale City areas.

**Midvale City Services Summary**
Microchips  –  58  
FVRCP – 25  
Bordatella – 24  
Rabies  –  29  
DHPP – 34  
Licenses Sold – 295

1st Quarter Events  
• Snuggle with Adoptable Pets  
• Doggy Spa Day  
• Tats for Cats

IV. PUBLIC COMMENTS
Sophia Hawes-Tingey thanked staff for Grant Street. It’s looking much better. She compared the public transit here in Midvale to Murray and Midvale has much better options. She encouraged everyone to attend the community council meetings. The active shooter training is free to the community on July 11th.

Melanie Beardall, Arts Council, said the first concert is this Friday and there will be a concert every Friday through Harvest Days. This week is an 80’s band. She invited the community to attend.

Josh Allred thanked the council for what they do. He wanted to propose starting a recreation department here in Midvale. He would like to focus programs on young adults, young professionals, kids programs, senior tournaments, special events (5k for harvest days festival). Also, connect the east and west of the city. He has worked for recreation centers for 15 years.

Kane Loader said he and Mayor Hale talked about creating a part time recreation director. He can work with Harvest Days. The Council agreed to proceed with working towards this.

Mr. Loader said with the development of Jordan Bluffs Project, he would like to coordinate activities with the Copperview Community Center. The city has always relied on S L County to take care of our recreation needs. The city needs a staff member to take on some of these responsibilities. It would give us a hands-on feel for recreation programs in our city and gives us a point person for these activities. He asked if the council would approve of this option. Mayor
Hale said he would also like opportunities to participate in some kind of free programs. The Council was in favor.

Keith Maddox said he was before the Council regarding a fundraiser on June 30th. He was in a serious car accident on May 18th so he’s been out of commission. His event is Roshambo H2O to raise funds for a rare medical disorder called Melas. He said there is an opening on August 25th and asked if he could hold the fundraiser on that date. The Council agreed.

V. COUNCIL REPORTS
A. Council Member Paul Glover – Excused.

B. Council Member Quinn Sperry – said he attended the shelter of the homeless board meeting where they talked about the legislative audit. There was an article in the paper that talked about the Midvale shelter. The audit came back with damaging information with drug use and other issues. They gave recommendations to shelter the homeless as well as the Road Home. He asked what the council wants to see as the land lord over the facility. They have a low barrier to entry with minimal searching, and no barrier. Police reports indicate drug related activity occurring at the shelter. The Road Home is supposed to use screening procedures. The audit said they have never seen a screening take place. His recommendation was to make sure they screen families with zero tolerance for individuals who have drugs or weapons. Then call the police and do not let them in the shelter. The majority of the council agreed on the zero tolerance for drugs, Council Member Gettel disagreed.

Heidi Miller expressed her feelings that the children need to be protected from the drugs.

Sophia Hawes-Tingey said to make the screening processes as humane as possible. Homeless may have a weapon in order to keep safe. She said she wants the shelters to be as inviting as possible.

C. Council Member Bryant Brown – said the Arts Council is performing the Drowsy Chaperone in June. He said it’s a great show. He said a citizen mentioned he would like to download all the events taking place this summer. He asked IT to look into whether that could be done from the city’s website.

D. Council Member Paul Hunt – said Utopia is going into his area. They are doing a great job installing them. He asked if the residents have more in-depth concerns who would they call? Kane Loader the City or Utopia. Utopia has a customer service person.

E. Council Member Dustin Gettel – said many of the council went to the Hillcrest High school ground breaking. It was great. June is LGBT pride month. Midvale has one of the higher LGBT populations.

VI. MAYOR REPORT
Mayor Robert Hale – said he attended the Hillcrest High school graduation decked out in a robe and hood. Last Friday he attended the disabled veteran state convention in Midvale. He is pleased to see larger organizations are taking advantage of our hotels and coming to our city. They really loved Bingham Junction and all the amenities for eating. Last Friday he also attended the Hillcrest High school baccalaureate. Hillcrest is the only school to offer that program. There were
over the world there. He also visited a title one school and visited with staff and he attended the Corner Canyon graduation.

**MOTION:** Council Member Quinn Sperry MOVED to open a public hearing. The motion was SECONDED by Council Member Dustin Gettel. Mayor Hale called for discussion on the motion. There being none the he called for a vote. The motion passed unanimously

**VII. PUBLIC HEARING**

**A. CONSIDER ADOPTION OF THE MIDVALE CITY FISCAL YEAR 2019 BUDGET BEGINNING JULY 1, 2018 AND ENDING JUNE 30, 2019 INCLUDING SALARIES FOR STATUTORY AND ELECTIVE OFFICERS, EMPLOYEES AND OTHER POSITIONS WITHIN THE CITY AS WELL AS THE FY2019 MIDVALE CITY MUNICIPAL FEE SCHEDULE**

Laurie Harvey said the purpose of this public hearing is to present the proposed FY 2019 budgets for the General Fund and other funds for public discussion prior to adoption on June 19, 2018. She reviewed the following information:

**Fiscal Year 2019 Budget**

**General Fund Revenue**

- Budgeting a 3% decrease
  - Due primarily to confirmed grant revenue
General Fund Tax Revenue

- Budgeting a 2% increase – No property tax increase

FY 2019 Tax Revenue - $13.6 million

- Sales tax: $7,710,600
- Property tax - current: $2,713,000
- Franchise tax: $2,200,000
- Other taxes: $931,000
Property Tax Revenue

- Certified Tax Rate
  - Utah law requires cities to adopt Certified Tax Rate (CTR) calculated by State
  - CTR is based on prior year budget plus new growth
  - Actual revenue has exceeded calculated (budgeted) revenue by average 109%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal year</th>
<th>Budget (CTR)</th>
<th>Actual or proj</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018 proj</td>
<td>2,469,200</td>
<td>2,693,800</td>
<td>109%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2,428,400</td>
<td>2,712,900</td>
<td>112%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>958,700</td>
<td>989,500</td>
<td>103%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>912,000</td>
<td>966,000</td>
<td>106%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>897,700</td>
<td>1,038,200</td>
<td>116%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>928,200</td>
<td>956,300</td>
<td>103%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avg (13-18)  $ \text{1,432,367}$  $ \text{1,559,450}$  109%

- Preliminary Salt Lake County shows 2% increase over FY 18
- Proposed Midvale City budget shows 1% increase over FY 18
- Final State approved CTR typically available mid-June

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal year</th>
<th>Budget (CTR)</th>
<th>Actual or proj</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019 prelim</td>
<td>2,498,000</td>
<td>2,713,000</td>
<td>109%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 proj</td>
<td>2,469,200</td>
<td>2,693,800</td>
<td>109%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2,428,400</td>
<td>2,712,900</td>
<td>112%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>958,700</td>
<td>989,500</td>
<td>103%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>912,000</td>
<td>966,000</td>
<td>106%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>897,700</td>
<td>1,038,200</td>
<td>116%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>928,200</td>
<td>956,300</td>
<td>103%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avg (13-18)  $ \text{1,432,367}$  $ \text{1,559,450}$  109%

- FY 2018 Certified Tax Rate = .001309
- Preliminary FY 2019 Certified Tax Rate = .001166
- Reflects a 12% increase in Midvale property values
- Example for a Midvale home:
  - FY 2018 - $250,000 x .55 = $137,500 x .001309 = $180 Midvale tax
  - FY 2019 - $250,000 x 1.12 x .55 = $154,000 x .001166 = $180 Midvale tax

- Anticipated adjustment to final budget once CTR is finalized
- “Property Tax Revenue” line item will be reduced to CTR revenue
- “Surplus property tax revenue” line item will increase by same amount
Proceedings of City Council Meeting  
June 5, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2016-17 Prior year Actual</th>
<th>2017-18 Current year Budget</th>
<th>2017-18 Current year YTD</th>
<th>2017-18 Current year Projections</th>
<th>2018-19 Tentative Budget</th>
<th>Inc/(Dec) Budget FY19 vs Budget FY18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TAXES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property tax</td>
<td>2,712,877</td>
<td>2,469,200</td>
<td>2,557,976</td>
<td>2,693,818</td>
<td>2,713,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delinquent property tax</td>
<td>30,975</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>28,463</td>
<td>27,836</td>
<td>31,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales tax</td>
<td>7,128,932</td>
<td>7,522,000</td>
<td>6,135,921</td>
<td>7,414,089</td>
<td>7,710,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franchise tax</td>
<td>2,205,527</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
<td>1,879,987</td>
<td>2,224,668</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecommunications tax</td>
<td>407,915</td>
<td>377,400</td>
<td>307,292</td>
<td>369,537</td>
<td>360,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient room tax</td>
<td>164,934</td>
<td>175,000</td>
<td>146,415</td>
<td>172,893</td>
<td>175,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicle in lieu of</td>
<td>417,806</td>
<td>305,000</td>
<td>261,969</td>
<td>366,807</td>
<td>365,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total TAXES:</strong></td>
<td><strong>13,068,967</strong></td>
<td><strong>13,083,600</strong></td>
<td><strong>11,318,023</strong></td>
<td><strong>13,269,648</strong></td>
<td><strong>13,554,600</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Property Taxes**

![Pie chart showing distribution of property tax payments for a Midvale City Home valued at $250,000.]

**General Fund Intergovernmental Revenue**
- Grant revenue varies from year to year
- Add’tl grants are often received and appropriated later in fiscal year
General Fund Charges for Services

Charges for services

General Fund Fines & Forfeitures

Fines and forfeitures
General Fund Other Revenue
- Budgeted 10% increase for FY 2019
  - $250,300 available in Debt Service Reserve to pay off Excise Tax Bond

Other revenues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Millions</th>
<th>FY 17 actual</th>
<th>FY 18 projected</th>
<th>FY 19 budgeted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1.5</td>
<td>$0.7</td>
<td>$1.0</td>
<td>$1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General Fund Expenditures

- Budgeting flat for FY 2019 (difference is less than a .3% decrease)

Total expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Millions</th>
<th>FY 17 actual</th>
<th>FY 18 projected</th>
<th>FY 19 budgeted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$21.0</td>
<td>$17.5</td>
<td>$20.4</td>
<td>$20.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.9 million increase from FY 2017 to 2018:
- Capital expenditures: $1.4 million
- Debt service: $.5 million
- Public safety: $.5 million
- Other: $.5 million
General Fund Expenditures
2018 & 2019

- Public Safety
- Personal Services
- Debt service
- Capital expenditures
- Operating

FY 18 projected
FY 19 budgeted

General Fund Public Safety

Public Safety/Total Expenditures

- FY 17
- FY 18
- FY 19
General Fund Personal Services

- Personal services budget is increasing by 8% in FY 2019
- Salaries line item increasing 9%
  - Full year for 4 FTE added in FY 2018
  - Merit increases averaging 3%
  - Full year for in-house custodial staff
  - Benefits line item increasing 6%
  - Reflects medical insurance premium increase of 4.78%
  - Short-term disability benefit added
  - Parental leave policy approved (2 weeks)

General Fund Debt Service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
<th>FY 2018</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
<th>FY 2020</th>
<th>FY 2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Series 2005 G.O.</td>
<td>$400,140</td>
<td>$404,340</td>
<td>$400,965</td>
<td>$403,295</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Series 2009 Excise</td>
<td>315,500</td>
<td>319,500</td>
<td>315,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Series 2017 Sales Tax</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>476,978</td>
<td>728,600</td>
<td>776,150</td>
<td>776,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total GF Debt Service</td>
<td>$715,640</td>
<td>$1,200,818</td>
<td>$1,444,565</td>
<td>$1,179,445</td>
<td>$776,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General Fund Capital Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>FY 2018</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk/curb/gutter replacement</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Center/Park/Cemetery</td>
<td>391,100</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Trust Fund</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Space acquisition</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crosswalk improvements</td>
<td>208,600</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavement management</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTOPIA debt service</td>
<td>850,000</td>
<td>850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street lighting - Angel</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christmas decorations</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foxbridge area road project</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic calming program</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bingham Junction Blvd</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Hall overflow parking/pickleball</td>
<td>67,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan River trail extension</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millenial Way</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7200 S. Gateway</td>
<td>166,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG projects - Grant and Allen</td>
<td>406,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return Arts Council funds</td>
<td>(30,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer to RDA</td>
<td>210,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$4,279,300</td>
<td>$1,980,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General Fund “Fund Balance”
State Law requires fund balance between 5-25% of budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>% of GF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fund balance 6/30/2017</td>
<td>$3,784,485</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated FY 2018 Surplus</td>
<td>658,639</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated Fund Balance 6/30/2018</td>
<td>$4,443,124</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General Improvement Projects Fund
Projects in progress FY 2018
- Road Bond projects/pavement mgt   $9,291,500
- Splash pad improvements           55,500
- Amphitheatre improvements          30,000
- City Hall overflow parking        55,000
- Jordan River trail extension      45,000
- Community Center/Park/Cemetery     1,000,000
- Crosswalk improvements            268,600
- Grant Street                       317,400
- 7200 S. Gateway project           166,000
- Bingham Junction Boulevard        1,500,000
- Reserve for future projects       360,000
Total                                $13,089,000

FY 2019 Projects
- Sidewalk/curb/gutter replacement  $100,000
- Community Center/Park/Cemetery   400,000
- Housing Trust Fund               50,000
- Open Space acquisition           20,000
- Crosswalk improvements           30,000
- Pavement management              300,000
- Street lighting - Angel          40,000
- Christmas decorations           30,000
- Foxbridge area road project      100,000
- Traffic calming program          60,000
- Future projects                  10,000
Total                                $1,140,000

Public Utilities Water
Public Utilities Water Rates – Service Areas

Public Utilities Water rate changes SA1
### Public Utilities Water Rate Changes SA2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>Off-peak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current rate</td>
<td>$20.06</td>
<td>$1.74</td>
<td>$1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed rate</td>
<td>$20.59</td>
<td>$1.83</td>
<td>$1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Current annual bill**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Base (x12)</th>
<th>30,000 peak (x4)</th>
<th>9,000 off peak (x8)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base (x12)</td>
<td>$240.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$240.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30,000 peak (x4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>208.80</td>
<td></td>
<td>$208.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,000 off peak (x8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>87.12</td>
<td>$536.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$536.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Proposed annual bill**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Base (x12)</th>
<th>30,000 peak (x4)</th>
<th>9,000 off peak (x8)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base (x12)</td>
<td>$247.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$247.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30,000 peak (x4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>219.60</td>
<td></td>
<td>$219.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,000 off peak (x8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>91.44</td>
<td>$558.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$558.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Increase**

4.0%
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>Off-peak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current rate</td>
<td>$22.81</td>
<td>$1.87</td>
<td>$1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed rate</td>
<td>$21.67</td>
<td>$1.87</td>
<td>$1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>-5.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current annual bill

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base (x12)</td>
<td>$273.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30,000 peak (x4)</td>
<td>224.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,000 off peak (x8)</td>
<td>92.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$591.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposed annual bill

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base (x12)</td>
<td>$260.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30,000 peak (x4)</td>
<td>224.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,000 off peak (x8)</td>
<td>92.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$577.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increase

-2.3%

Public Utilities Water Rate Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate Comparison</th>
<th>Off-Peak 9,000</th>
<th>Peak 30,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Draper</td>
<td>54.42</td>
<td>153.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Lake County</td>
<td>82.54</td>
<td>111.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverton</td>
<td>36.94</td>
<td>119.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Lake City</td>
<td>61.51</td>
<td>82.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Jordan</td>
<td>50.27</td>
<td>90.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herriman</td>
<td>43.44</td>
<td>90.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy - SLC Residents</td>
<td>37.12</td>
<td>92.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluffdale</td>
<td>33.60</td>
<td>93.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Jordan</td>
<td>40.54</td>
<td>79.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy</td>
<td>30.33</td>
<td>80.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midvale - SA #3</td>
<td>33.28</td>
<td>77.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midvale - SA #2</td>
<td>32.03</td>
<td>75.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midvale - SA #1</td>
<td>27.23</td>
<td>66.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan Valley</td>
<td>19.83</td>
<td>73.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Salt Lake</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>69.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murray</td>
<td>17.93</td>
<td>58.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Public Utilities Water Expenditures
Public Utilities Water Expenditures
- FY 2018 capital expenditures include bond projects:
  - $2.3 million for waterline improvements
  - $1.2 million for connection to Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District
  - $200,000 for meter swaps in new JVWCD retail area
  - $150,000 for fixed meter reading system
  - FY 2019 capital expenditures:
    - $500,000 for infrastructure improvements
    - Carryover from FY 2018 projects will be moved to FY 2019

Public Utilities Sewer

Public Utilities Sewer rate changes
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Peak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current rate</td>
<td>$22.05</td>
<td>$1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed rate</td>
<td>$22.71</td>
<td>$1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current annual bill
Base (x12) $264.60
9,000 avg consumption $199.80
Total $464.40

Proposed annual bill
Base (x12) $272.52
9,000 avg consumption $206.28
Total $478.80

Increase 3.1%

Public Utilities Sewer Rate Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gallons Used</th>
<th>9,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Salt Lake</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granger-Hunter*</td>
<td>30.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Jordan</td>
<td>29.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murray</td>
<td>29.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Valley Sewer Dist*</td>
<td>28.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midvalley Imp Dist*</td>
<td>26.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Lake City</td>
<td>23.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottonwood Imp Dist*</td>
<td>22.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy Suburban Imp Dist*</td>
<td>19.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes property taxes paid directly to districts

Public Utilities Sewer Expenditures

Sewer Utility Expenditures

$5,000,000
$4,500,000
$4,000,000
$3,500,000
$3,000,000
$2,500,000
$2,000,000
$1,500,000
$1,000,000
$500,000
$-

FY 18 - $4.5 m  FY 19 - $2.3 m

- Personal services  - Operations  - Debt service  - Capital
Public Utilities Sewer Expenditures
- One FTE added for FY 2019
- FY 2018 capital expenditures include bond projects:
  - $1.7 million for South Valley facility grit/phosphorous removal
  - $850,000 for sewer line improvements
  - FY 2019 capital expenditures:
  - $130,000 for infrastructure improvements
  - Carryover from FY 2018 projects will be moved to FY 2019

Storm Water Utility

![Storm Water Utility Revenues Chart]

Storm Water Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Rate ($/3,000 sq ft)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current rate</td>
<td>$ 8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed rate</td>
<td>$ 8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current annual bill Base</td>
<td>$ 96.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed annual bill Base</td>
<td>$ 96.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Storm Water Rate Comparison
Rate Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Charge/ERU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Draper</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midvale</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Jordan</td>
<td>7.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverton</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluffdale</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Jordan</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murray</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Valley City</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Storm Water Utility Expenditures

- Storm Water Utility Expenditures

$2,500,000
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$1,500,000
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$-
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- Personal services
- Operations
- Debt service
- Capital

Storm Water Utility Expenditures
- One FTE added for FY 2019

Street Lighting Fund

Street Lighting Fund Revenues
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FY 2017
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- User charges
Street Lighting Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Residential</th>
<th>Commercial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current rate</td>
<td>$ 3.00</td>
<td>$ 9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed rate</td>
<td>$ 3.00</td>
<td>$ 9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current annual bill
Base (x12)          $ 36.00 $ 108.00

Proposed annual bill
Base (x12)          $ 36.00 $ 108.00

Increase            N/A

Streetlighting Fund Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Streetlighting Expenditures</th>
<th>FY 18 - $375,200</th>
<th>FY 19 - $396,400</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$367,500</td>
<td>$386,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sanitation Fund

Sanitation Fund Revenues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sanitation Fund Revenues</th>
<th>FY 17 - $957,200</th>
<th>FY 18 - $956,900</th>
<th>FY 19 - $1,056,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$18,900</td>
<td>$18,200</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$938,300</td>
<td>$938,700</td>
<td>$1,035,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sanitation Fund Rates
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>First can</th>
<th>Recycling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current rate</td>
<td>$7.95</td>
<td>$2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed rate</td>
<td>$8.75</td>
<td>$2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current annual bill
First can (x12) $95.40
Recycling (x12) 32.52
Total            $127.92

Proposed annual bill
First can (x12) $105.00
Recycling (x12) 35.76
Total            $140.76

Increase 10.0%

Sanitation Fund Rate Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Basic (G &amp; R)</th>
<th>Addtl Gar</th>
<th>Addtl Recy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Draper</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Valley City</td>
<td>26.50</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murray</td>
<td>30.25</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Lake City</td>
<td>34.50</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>13.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluffdale</td>
<td>26.75</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Jordan</td>
<td>25.75</td>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>8.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy</td>
<td>24.45</td>
<td>13.45</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Jordan</td>
<td>27.62</td>
<td>12.83</td>
<td>9.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midvale</td>
<td>20.47</td>
<td>11.73</td>
<td>8.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Salt Lake</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverton</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sanitation Fund Expenditures

$1,200,000
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Sanitation Fund

- Bulky waste program modified in April 2018
- Semi-annual pickups converted to monthly - $55,000 more per year
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- Trans Jordan Landfill tipping fee increased by 10%
- Bulky waste dumpster – increasing from $180 to $200
  - $150 deposit required
- Green waste dumpster – increasing from $125 to $180
  - $150 deposit required

**Telecommunications Fund**

*Telecommunications Fund Revenues*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 17</th>
<th>FY 18</th>
<th>FY 19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>$820,000</td>
<td>$850,000</td>
<td>$850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer from GF</td>
<td>$20,700</td>
<td>$850,000</td>
<td>$850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User fees/other</td>
<td>$890,700</td>
<td>$39,600</td>
<td>$47,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Telecommunications Fund Expenditures**

*Telecommunications Fund Expenditures*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 18</th>
<th>FY 19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expenditure</td>
<td>$21,500</td>
<td>$25,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUE pmt to UTOPIA</td>
<td>$860,000</td>
<td>$880,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTOPIA pledge pmt</td>
<td>$881,500</td>
<td>$905,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fleet Fund (Internal Service)**
Fleet Fund Revenues

FY 17 - $891,500  FY 18 - $1,101,300  FY 19 - $1,076,500

- Operations charges  □ Replacement charges  □ Other

Fleet Fund Expenditures

FY 18 - $1,129,400  FY 19 - $1,316,700

- Personal services  □ Operations  □ Capital outlay

Information Technology Fund
(Internal Service)

Information Technology Fund Revenues

FY 2017  FY 2018  FY 2019

- Contributions
Midvale City Budget Fiscal Year 2019
- Complete budget document available online at midvalecity.org
- Final budget scheduled for adoption on June 19, 2018

Mayor Hale opened the hearing to public comment. There was no one present who desired to speak to this issue.

MOTION: Council Member Quinn Sperry MOVED to close a public hearing. The motion was SECONDED by Council Member Paul Hunt. Mayor Hale called for discussion on the motion. There being none the he called for a vote. The motion passed unanimously

MOTION: Council Member Dustin Gettel MOVED to open a public hearing. The motion was SECONDED by Council Member Bryant Brown. Mayor Hale called for discussion on the motion. There being none the he called for a vote. The motion passed unanimously

B. PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING ENTERPRISE FUNDS FOR FY2019 FOR MIDVALE CITY

Laurie Harvey said the purpose of this public hearing is to inform the public of amounts transferred from the City’s Enterprise Funds to the General Fund. Notices of the transfers have been mailed to all utility customers. The transfer amounts have changed slightly since adoption of the tentative budget, and are listed below:
## Allocation of Overhead

- Annual review of cost allocations from enterprise funds and RDA
  - Council
  - City Administration
  - Debt service on city Hall
  - City newsletter
  - Facilities maintenance
- Allocations are based on one of the following:
  - Number of employees
  - Physical space occupied
  - Total budget
  - Revenue received
  - Blended (all of some of above)
  - Specific allocation – (City newsletter for example)

### Increases or Decreases for FY 2019

- Water – overhead Allocation decreased by $49,500 – (10%)
- Sewer – increased by $30,600 - 16%
- Storm Water – increased by $34,800 – 11%
- Sanitation – increased by $3,100 – 4%
- Street lighting – increased by $1,600 – 5%

### Utilities Charges to General Fund

- The general fund pays for:
  - Water
  - Sewer
  - Storm Water
  - Street lighting

Mayor Hale opened the hearing to public comment. There was no one present who desired to speak to this issue.

**MOTION:** Council Member Dustin Gettel MOVED to close a public hearing. The motion was SECONDED by Council Member Quinn Sperry. Mayor Hale called for discussion on the motion. There being none the he called for a vote. The motion passed unanimously

---

**Proceedings of City Council Meeting**

**June 5, 2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transfer Description</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Sewer</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Storm Water</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Sanitation</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Street Lighting</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dollar Amount</td>
<td>% of Expenditures</td>
<td>Dollar Amount</td>
<td>% of Expenditures</td>
<td>Dollar Amount</td>
<td>% of Expenditures</td>
<td>Dollar Amount</td>
<td>% of Expenditures</td>
<td>Dollar Amount</td>
<td>% of Expenditures</td>
<td>Dollar Amount</td>
<td>% of Expenditures</td>
<td>Dollar Amount</td>
<td>% of Expenditures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Allocation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund - Administration</td>
<td>439,900</td>
<td>9.03%</td>
<td>227,700</td>
<td>9.70%</td>
<td>360,300</td>
<td>19.11%</td>
<td>79,100</td>
<td>7.10%</td>
<td>35,800</td>
<td>9.03%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td>8,100</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>0.13%</td>
<td>4,200</td>
<td>0.22%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>110,800</td>
<td>2.27%</td>
<td>120,500</td>
<td>5.14%</td>
<td>161,800</td>
<td>8.58%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy Transfers</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MOTION: Council Member Dustin Gettel MOVED to open a public hearing. The motion was SECONDED by Council Member Bryant Brown. Mayor Hale called for discussion on the motion. There being none he called for a vote. The motion passed unanimously.

C. CONSIDER COTTAGES ON 7TH PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISION PLAT LOCATED AT 7575/7601 SOUTH 700 EAST

Alex Murphy said the proposed Cottages on 7th Subdivision plat consists of seven (7) proposed residential lots and additional common area on a total of 1.16 acres located on 700 East. This request has been submitted by Brian McMullin, representing the owners of the two properties involved, as part of an overall proposal for a Mast Planned Development. The Planning Commission granted approval of the Conditional Use Permit and Preliminary Site Plan for the Master Planned Development subject to the City Council’s approval of the subdivision plat.

The proposed subdivision plat has been reviewed and approved by the City Engineer and Fire Marshal. As a large subdivision request (more than 3 lots), this request is subject to and complies with the requirements of the Single Family Residential zone (SF-1) and the subdivision ordinance (Title 16), provided the recommended conditions below are satisfied.

All subdivisions require a review and recommendation from the Planning Commission and approval from the City Council with public hearings held before each body. The Planning Commission conducted a public hearing on this subdivision plant on April 25, 2018 and issued a decision on the application on May 9, 2018. Based on compliance with the City’s zoning and subdivision requirements, the Planning Commission forwarded a positive recommendation to the City Council to approve the preliminary subdivision plat for the Cottages on 7th Subdivision with the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall prepare a final subdivision plat to be reviewed and approved by the City Engineer, Fire Marshal, and City Council. Prior to final subdivision plat approval, the applicant shall obtain final site plan approval for the master planned development.

2. The applicant shall prepare a draft Declaration of Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions, including the creation of a homeowner’s association and a requirement stating garbage cans be stored in the garage, for the development. Once approved, this document shall be recorded concurrently with the subdivision plat.

3. The applicant shall obtain duty to serve letters for water and sewer prior to the subdivision plat being recorded.

4. The applicant shall provide evidence that courtesy notices have been sent to Dominion Energy, Rocky Mountain Power, Xfinity, Utopia, and Century Link regarding the utility easements on the subdivision plat with the Final Subdivision Plat application.
5. A note indicating the parking restriction along the private road shall be included on the final plat.

6. The subdivision plat and associated documents shall not be recorded until all existing structures have been removed from the property.

The Planning Commission did include a condition of approval for the Conditional Use Permit and Preliminary Site Plan for the Master Planned Development stating the front yard setback would need to be addressed. This revision may slightly affect the configuration of the subdivision plat; including a condition of approval requiring the subdivision plat be updated to reflect the final site plan would resolve this conflict. This condition language is included in condition #1 in the recommended motion.

Upon City Council approval of the preliminary plat, the applicant will be required to prepare Final Plat and Final Site Plan applications accurately reflecting the conditions of approval imposed by the City Council. The subdivision shall not be considered approved until final action by the City Council on the final plat at a future date.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:
Staff agrees with the Planning Commission’s recommendation of approval with an additional condition of approval being included stating the final subdivision plat must be updated to include that result from addressing the Planning Commission’s requirements.

Brian McMullin said the Planning Commission was great in telling him what was needed. He said he would like to complete this all at once and sell the units individually.

Mayor Hale opened the hearing to public comment.

Diane Bjarnson 594 E 7500 S., said she was concerned about the irrigation ditch. They have used irrigation for over 30 years. She said the easement needs to be on the master plan. Developers have not planned for the irrigation. She is glad the developer will make sure there is a ditch for the water but felt there needs to be an easement put on the master plan to access the irrigation water.

David Nielsen said there is also a ditch running from the head gate over to his property that needs to be addressed.

Brian McMullin said their intention was to pipe the irrigation. He’s not sure where the other pipe is but he wants to make sure it’s not a liability. There are two ditches. He said he would put the easement on the plat.

David Nielsen asked what their responsibilities will be as the ditch owner? Kane Loader said the ditch company has to take responsibility, but if it’s a lateral ditch, the users are responsible.

MOTION: Council Member Bryant Brown MOVED to close a public hearing. The motion was SECONDED by Council Member Dustin Gettel. Mayor Hale called for discussion on the motion. There being none the he called for a vote. The motion passed unanimously.
ACTION: Approve Cottages on 7th Preliminary Subdivision Plat located at 7575/7601 South 700 East

MOTION: Council Member Bryant Brown MOVED that based on demonstrated compliance with the requirements of Midvale City Municipal Code demonstrated in the application or addressed by the inclusion of conditions of approval, I move that we approve the preliminary subdivision plat for the Cottages on 7th Subdivision with the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall prepare a final subdivision plat to be reviewed and approved by the City Engineer, Fire Marshal, and City Council. Prior to final subdivision plat approval, the applicant shall obtain final site plan approval for the master planned development. The final subdivision plat shall be adjusted to reflect any changes required by the final site plan.

2. The applicant shall prepare a draft Declaration of Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions, including the creation of a homeowner’s association and a requirement stating garbage cans be stored in the garage, for the development. Once approved, this document shall be recorded concurrently with the subdivision plat.

3. The applicant shall obtain duty to serve letters for water and sewer prior to the subdivision plat being recorded.

4. The applicant shall provide evidence that courtesy notices have been sent to Dominion Energy, Rocky Mountain Power, Xfinity, Utopia, and Century Link regarding the utility easements on the subdivision plat with the Final Subdivision Plat application.

5. A note indicating the parking restriction along the private road shall be included on the final plat.

6. The subdivision plat and associated documents shall not be recorded until all existing structures have been removed from the property.”

7. The applicant shall provide the irrigation ditches running west and north on the final plat before approval.

The motion was SECONDED by Council Member Quinn Sperry. Mayor Hale called for discussion on the motion. There being none the he called for a roll call vote. The voting was as follows:

Council member Quinn Sperry         Aye
Council member Paul Glover          Absent
Council member Paul Hunt            Aye
Councilmember Bryant Brown          Aye
Council member Dustin Gettel        Aye

The motion passed unanimously.

Council Member Quinn Sperry questioned the condition to store garbage cans in the garage. Alex Murphy said the Planning Commission didn’t want the cans stored outside unscreened.

The Council recessed at 10:02 and reconvened at 10:06 pm
VII. CONSENT AGENDA
A. CONSIDER MINUTES OF MAY 15, 2018

MOTION: Council Member Quinn Sperry MOVED to approve the Consent Agenda. The motion was SECONDED by Council Member Paul Hunt. Mayor Hale called for discussion on the motion. There being none the he called for a roll call vote. The voting was as follows:

  Council member Quinn Sperry  Aye
  Council member Paul Glover  Absent
  Council member Paul Hunt  Aye
  Councilmember Bryant Brown  Aye
  Council member Dustin Gettel  Aye

The motion passed unanimously.

VIII. ACTION ITEM
A. CONSIDER RESOLUTION NO. 2018-R-27 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO THE JORDAN BLUFFS SUBAREA 4 DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

Lesley Burns stated that on April 11, 2018, the Planning Commission approved a Large Scale Master Plan (LSMP) for Subarea 4 of the Jordan Bluffs property. Subarea 4 includes approximately 40 acres located near the southwest corner of 7800 South and Holden Street and is bounded on west and south by the under-construction extensions of Bingham Junction Boulevard and Ivy Drive, respectively. The approved LSMP allows 806 residential units and provides the layout of the buildings, landscape areas, recreational amenity areas, a pedestrian system, parking, and vehicular circulation.

Building types include three and four-story stacked units and three-story townhouse units; units range from one to three bedrooms; and parking is provided with surface stalls (some with carports), garages and driveways.

This project was reviewed under Chapter 17-7-10.11 of the Municipal Code, the Jordan Bluffs Subarea 4 Residential Development zoning ordinance adopted last year and complies with the development standards in this ordinance. Section 17-7-10.11.3 (B) requires a Development Agreement between Midvale City and the property owner/developer for this type of project. The intent of the Development Agreement is to ensure compliance with the development standards of the ordinance and the approved LSMP over the course of completion of this multi-phased project.

A development agreement has been written and has been through a few iterations after review and comments by the property owner, the City Attorney, and Community Development Staff. The attached Development Agreement has been agreed upon by all involved and is now before the City Council for its consideration. The agreement includes the following:

- History of approvals on property leading to this project (recitals).
- The agreement will run with the property and will be binding on any successors and assigns of the current Developer/Property Owner in the future.
- Requires developer to develop the property as shown on the approved LSMP, which is attached as an exhibit. A copy of the approved amended Large Scale Master Plan and
conditions of approval.
• Vests property owner for LSMP.
• Requires Phase 3 to go back to the Planning Commission for review and approval.
• Allows vehicular access onto Holden Street to be gated and pedestrian accesses to be secured during night time.
• Prohibits construction traffic on neighborhood roads.
• Requires compliance with the environmental controls established by EPA and the State on the property.
• Term of the agreement is 10 years.

If the City Council is comfortable with this agreement, Staff has prepared a resolution that would authorize the Mayor to sign the Development Agreement on behalf of the City.

Council Member Dustin Gettel said he was not comfortable closing the gates by daylight hours. He would prefer to have it stated at specific times.

Adam Langford Wasatch Group, agreed with posting the hours 6 am to 10 pm

MOTION: Council Member Paul Hunt MOVED to approve agenda summary as discussed and presented with the understanding that the hours will be posted. The motion was SECONDED by Council Member Quinn Sperry. Mayor Hale called for discussion on the motion. There being none the he called for a roll call vote. The voting was as follows:

Council member Quinn Sperry Aye
Council member Paul Glover Absent
Council member Paul Hunt Aye
Councilmember Bryant Brown Aye
Council member Dustin Gettel Aye

The motion passed unanimously.

B. CONSIDER RESOLUTION NO. 2018-R-28 TRANSFERRING OWNERSHIP OF MAIN STREET PROPERTIES TO REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF MIDVALE CITY

Matt Dahl gave a back ground and history to the transferring ownership of Main Street Properties:

On May 1, 2018 the Redevelopment Agency of Midvale City Board of Directors (Agency Board) discussed a potential transfer of property from the City to the Agency. The subject properties are located at 7697 and 7555 South Main Street (“Property”).

The Property is comprised of five parcels, which include the Midvale Museum and the Basketball Court on 5th Ave. Following the completion of basic due diligence by the City and the Agency, no significant issues were identified that would prevent the transfer of the Property or negatively impact either party.

The City is proposing to transfer the Property to the RDA for the purpose of facilitating the redevelopment of the Main Street area. As proposed, the Agency will agree to pay the City $400,000 for the Property. The Agency will not be obligated to provide the payment until either
the Agency sells the Property to private developers or October 1, 2018, whichever comes first. Additionally, the City will retain responsibility for the maintenance of the Property until it is sold for development. The term sheet for the transaction is attached.

**FISCAL IMPACT:** The Agency shall cover all costs associated with the transaction and will pay the City $400,000 for the Property. The proceeds from the sale will go to the City’s General Fund and has already been included in the FY19 Budget. While the City will continue to maintain the Property while it is owned by the Agency, these costs are already factored into the City’s budget and will therefore will result in any changes in the General Fund.

**MOTION:** Council Member Paul Hunt MOVED to approve Resolution No. 2018-R-28 authorizing the execution of an Interlocal Agreement between the Redevelopment Agency of Midvale City and Midvale City for the transfer of property located at 7697 and 7555 South Main Street. The motion was SECONDED by Council Member Quinn Sperry. Mayor Hale called for discussion on the motion. There being none he called for a roll call vote. The voting was as follows:
- Council member Quinn Sperry: Aye
- Council member Paul Glover: Absent
- Council member Paul Hunt: Aye
- Councilmember Bryant Brown: Aye
- Council member Dustin Gettel: Aye

The motion passed unanimously.

**IX. DISCUSSION ITEMS**

**A. DISCUSS RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS TO MAKE CHANGES TO MIDVALE CITY’S PUBLIC TREASURES INVESTMENT FUND (PTIF) ACCOUNT**

Dalin Hackett said this authorizes myself and Brandon Smith to make changes to the PTIF accounts with the state treasures office. The treasures office feels that they need a resolution of approval for these individuals to make changes.

The Council agreed to bring back next meeting as a consent agenda.

**B. DISCUSS WIRELESS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS FRANCHISE AGREEMENT WITH CROWN CASTLE**

**MOTION:** Council Member Quinn Sperry MOVED to table the item. Council Member Dustin Gettel SECONDED the motion. Mayor Hale called for discussion on the motion. There being none, he called for a vote. The motion passed unanimously.

**X. ADJOURN**

**MOTION:** Council Member Dustin Gettel MOVED to adjourn the meeting. Council Member Paul Hunt SECONDED the motion. Mayor Hale called for discussion
on the motion. There being none, he called for a vote. The motion passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 10:34 p.m.

Rori L. Andreaon, MMC
H.R. DIRECTOR/CITY RECORDER

Approved this 19th day of June 2018
# Midvale City Council Meeting

## Sign In Sheet

**Tuesday, June 5, 2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PLEASE PRINT)</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>CITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrie Hecht</td>
<td>S.C.O. Animal Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanie Bennett</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrie Seibert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanie Beardall</td>
<td>8168 S Wilson St</td>
<td>Midvale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brent Beardall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deneen Shepherd</td>
<td>1759 E. Youth Home Ave</td>
<td>Sandy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene Burschmidt</td>
<td>Humane Society UTA</td>
<td>Murray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian McMullin</td>
<td>2779 S. Commerce Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidi Miller</td>
<td>1093 Lennox Rd</td>
<td>Midvale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josh Allred</td>
<td>7528 S. Grants #5</td>
<td>Midvale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katie Allred</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Nielsen</td>
<td>7555 S 700 E East</td>
<td>Mid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diane Bjarnason</td>
<td>594 E 7500 S.</td>
<td>Midvale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Hawry</td>
<td>2150 S 1300 E</td>
<td>SLC 84106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Miller</td>
<td>7555 S 700 E</td>
<td>Midvale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura Stewart</td>
<td>124 E 6850 S</td>
<td>Midvale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Frantzen</td>
<td>P.O. Box 9142 Midvale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Salt Lake County Animal Services is dedicated to providing superior support, education, protection and advocacy for all animals and members of the community.
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As 2018 kicks off, Salt Lake County Animal Services has hit the ground running with a busy quarter! Our devoted staff found forever homes for over 700 animals through adoptions and rescue partners this quarter. Because of this tremendous effort, our live release rate this quarter is 93.4%.

We are always striving to improve our service with unique and innovative programs. One program I would like to highlight that is unique to our agency and has a drastic impact on our community is our Adoption Follow-up Program. Our adoption program includes adoption counseling, training and resources, and a follow-up process that helps foster a life-long commitment amongst our adoption families. Through this follow up process, we can proactively help residents resolve struggles and provide resources to make lasting adoptions. Since the beginning of this program, we have seen a decrease in the pets returned to the shelter and we are matching animals with families that suit their lifestyles making it a more pleasant experience for all involved. This is just one of the many programs we have that sets our service apart from others and really enhances the quality of life for our residents and their pets.

Some other programs that I would like to highlight is our newly renovated court-ordered Community Service Program. This new program gives us the opportunity to focus on the skills of applicants and bring in higher quality assistance while also providing a valuable job skill for that service provider. Another program that we are proud of is our Protective Custody Program. This unique program is an essential resource for victims of domestic violence that are referred to us by the appropriate agencies within our service areas. As these vulnerable individuals overcome hurdles in their delicate situations, they can count on us to care for their furry loved ones during the transition. We can assist with up to 5 dogs and/or 5 cats at a time within a 30-day time frame. This allows victims to focus on achieving a healthy and safe status before reuniting with their loved companions.

Our dedicated employees are extremely capable of handling unique cases. We recently handled a high-profile abandonment situation in late January. Though this call for help had its difficulties, it is a shining example of how our employees are dedicated to our Animal Services’ mission and the dedication they have for their community. As the lead agency in this case, we coordinated with Unified Police, Code Enforcement, Public Information Officers, and the Utah Division of Wildlife. We surveyed and retrieved over 200 animals in a two-day period. These animals ranged from snakes, Tegus, birds, chameleons, dogs, and a multitude of other species. Due to our dedicated and benevolent staff and community support, we have been able to quickly place these animals and provide them the medical care and attention they needed for a positive outcome. Due to the abundant experience of our field officers, we could easily handle these animals and create impeccable legal documentation for the enforcement of this case.

Finally, we meet earlier this year with all our service areas liaisons and we are working diligently to coordinate our new Advisory Committee. Once this new ordinance is finalized, each of the areas we serve will be much more actively involved in our services and decision regarding our operations. We are excited to share with you all the great things we do and provide you with more control over our partnership. Thank you as always for allowing us to serve all the four-legged and two-legged residents in our community.
### AGENCY STATISTICS

#### SALTBURY SHORES

#### ANIMAL SERVICES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species By Age</th>
<th>Cats</th>
<th>Dogs</th>
<th>Cats and Dogs</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>Up to 5 Mths</td>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>Up to 5 Mths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning Animal Inventory as of 1/1/2018</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stray / At Large</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relinquished by Owner</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Requested Euthanasia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferred in from Agency</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Returned from Foster</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNR Released (Cats)</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOA - Dead on Arrival</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Intakes</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Intake</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Adjusted Intake</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### INTAKES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Adults</th>
<th>Up to 5 Mths</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Adults</th>
<th>Up to 5 Mths</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Adults</th>
<th>Up to 5 Mths</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adoption</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Returned to Owner</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferred to Another Agency</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent to Rescue/Rehab</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Returned to the Wild</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal: Live Outcomes</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>1,166</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1,249</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent to Foster</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOA - Dead on Arrival</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost in Care</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelter Euthanasia</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Requested Euthanasia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Outcomes</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>1,413</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1,537</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Total Outcomes</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>1,223</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1,309</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### OUTCOMES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cats and Dogs : 95.42%</th>
<th>All Animals : 93.34%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Live Release Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending Animal Inventory as of 3/31/2018</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterilized</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Jurisdiction Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species By Age</th>
<th>Cats</th>
<th>Dogs</th>
<th>Cats and Dogs</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>Up to 5 Mths</td>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>Up to 5 Mths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning Animal Inventory as of 1/1/2018</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stray / At Large</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relinquished by Owner</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Requested Euthanasia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferred in from Agency</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Returned from Foster</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNR Released (Cats)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOA - Dead on Arrival</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Intakes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Intake</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Adjusted Intake</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Returned to Owner</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferred to Another Agency</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent to Rescue/Rehab</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Returned to the Wild</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal: Live Outcomes</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent to Foster</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOA - Dead on Arrival</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost in Care</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelter Euthanasia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Requested Euthanasia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Outcomes</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Total Outcomes</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Live Release Rate**

- Cats and Dogs: 94.34%
- All Animals: 87.08%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ending Animal Inventory as of 3/31/2018</th>
<th>Cats and Dogs</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>All Animals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sterling</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EMPLOYEES OF THE MONTH

JANUARY - Hannah McNabb

Hannah McNabb
Outreach Coordinator

Hannah was chosen as employee of the month for January.

Here is what the staff had to say about Hannah:

- I job shadowed Hannah when she was meeting with a volunteer. She was very detailed and enthusiastic in explaining what a volunteer would be doing.

- Always so friendly and willing to help. I've never seen her with a frown on her face and her happy demeanor is infectious.

- Hannah is amazing, and very helpful. Her positive behavior, makes her easy to work with. She has great attention to detail.

FEBRUARY - Elaina Sanchez

Elaina Sanchez
Animal Care Specialist

Elaina was chosen for our February employee of the month.

Here's a few things the staff had to say about her:

- She is willing to jump right in and help. She takes pride in caring for each animal. She works hard. She loves doing what she does, and it shows.

- Friendly, works well with staff, attentive towards animals. Never says no to field staff. Always helpful.

- Always friendly with the officers and she is a genuine work horse. Often when we have TNR cats on our vehicles she makes sure feral boxes are ready for us. She's always willing to take a second to help us.

MARCH - Don Belnap

Don Belnap
Animal Control Officer

Don was chosen for our March employee of the month.

Here is what the staff had to say about him:

- He is always willing to help and answer questions with a good attitude.

- He did a wonderful job with the recent reptile cases and his help with handling for medical procedures and housing was greatly appreciated.

- He is always looking out for his fellow Officers and shelter staff. If they need assistance he is one of the first to jump in and lend a hand. His assistance & knowledge with exotics/reptiles has benefitted the shelter in many instances and he's always willing to teach the staff new skills in handling these rare cases.
VOLUNTEERS OF THE MONTH

Meet Salt Lake County Animal Services January 2018 Volunteer of the Month, Tracie Harrison.

Tracie started volunteering with us in July 2017 and can often be found working with our green collar dogs or in our barn visiting with the rabbits! She always has such a great attitude when she comes in and has a smile for everyone. We love having Tracie here as she is so understanding and hardworking! Tracie is a wonderful person to have as part of our volunteer program. Her love for the dogs and rabbits is clear and apparent, and we are thankful she chooses to spend her time with our animals!

By volunteering you have just given the most expensive and priceless gift anyone could have ever given – kindness and love. Thank you Tracie!

“We have to do what we can to help wherever and whenever it is possible for us to help.” — Jackie Chan

Salt Lake County Animal Services would like to thank our February 2018 volunteers of the month: our student group from Post High!

A group of students from Post High come every single week and make puppies for our dogs, clean the cattery for us, and socialize the cats. All of them have a deep love for the animals and are a joy to have volunteering at Salt Lake County Animal Services. This program helps give these students new skills out in their community, cultivates empathy, and benefits the animals here at the shelter, which is a win-win situation. We enjoy getting to know each of the students every school year and celebrating with them when they graduate. Some students have come back to volunteer after graduation and it brings it all back full circle.

We appreciate all that you do for us Post High! Thanks for volunteering and giving our organization the most precious thing you will ever own – your time and talent.

“Life’s most urgent question is: What are you doing for others?” — Martin Luther King Jr.

Michelle Larson is our March volunteer of the month! She is one of our first and most dedicated rabbit volunteers!

She has experience with bunnies and offered to come to the shelter and assist in their care and enrichment. It was clear from the get go that Michelle had a lot to offer Salt Lake County Animal Services. Michelle immediately began bringing in enrichment items, the bunnies favorite hay, getting to know their personalities, and attending events. She even wrote up a wonderful FAQ for adopters! Not only does she stop by every day, she has also recruited other volunteers to join the Salt Lake County Animal Services volunteer family. Michelle is now a volunteer Bunny Mentor and helps train new volunteers in the bunny area. We couldn’t do what we do without her and her passion for the rabbits.

Thank you, Michelle, for helping us make a difference! People like you should volunteer in abundance.

“The meaning of life is to find your gift. The purpose of life is to give it away.” — William Shakespeare
1ST QUARTER HIGHLIGHTS

Animal Control Officer of the Year

Salt Lake County Animal Services is proud to have the 2017 Utah Animal Control Association Officer of the Year working on our team.

Officer Bennett was nominated for the award for helping a passerby with lawn mower fire in Magna in May 2017. The fire spread to the surrounding bushes & fence leading to a chicken coop. Officer Bennett radioed to have UFA respond to the fire. In the meantime, she grabbed her fire extinguisher & helped prevent the fire from spreading. Thanks to her quick thinking, she helped prevent any major damage to the home and the chickens.

Officer Bennett has over 19 yrs. of experience in Animal Control and has been with Salt Lake County Animal Services for 7 of those years. She comes from a family of Animal Control Officers & has extensive knowledge of animal control protocol and livestock.

Advisory Committee

To assist our contracted jurisdictions, we have implemented a new Advisory Board allowing each city and township to have a voice in agency decisions and maintain open communication. Our first successful meeting was held on 2/7/18 and featured a grand tour of our facilities and explanation of our various programs.

Legislative update - HB 226

This bill has successfully passed the state senate and just needs to be signed by the governor. The bill, HB 226 – Citation Authority Amendments:

- Amends a provision that prohibits certain municipal officers and officials from enforcing a local ordinance through issuing a citation to except violations regarding animals
- Clarifies that county and municipal animal control officers may issue a citation; and makes technical and conforming changes
PROGRAMS & RESOURCES

- Salt Lake County Animal Services is the only municipal shelter in Utah to partner with Pets for Patriots, Inc., a nonprofit that saves lives of people and pets through companion pet adoption for United States military veterans.
- Working with Pets for Patriots, SLCOAS gives local veterans, active duty military members & their families the gift of unconditional love & animals a second chance.
  The charity send the adopter a $150 gift card to assist with food and other essential needs, and also provides access to local veterinarians offering ongoing discounted care. Animal Services offers a waived adoption fee to members, as a thank you for their service.

Pets for Patriots

- Our age-appropriate presentations demonstrate basic animal care, humane treatment of animals, and important safety information.
- Our most popular topics include Responsible Pet Ownership and Bite Prevention.
  Visit our blog at slcoserviceshumaneeducation.blogspot.com
  Teachers and organizations can schedule a presentation and/or a tour by contacting our Outreach Department at 385-468-6042.

Humane Education

- Thanks to our partnerships with local professional animal trainers, each month Salt Lake County Animal Services hosts a variety of training workshops presented that are free to the public!

Free Training Workshops

- Each month features a different variety of classes including: Intro to nose work, dog to dog aggression, puppy obedience, etc.

- Check out our Facebook event page where you can:
  - Receive information on upcoming events.
  - Learn about our training classes and register for upcoming workshops.
  - Support us by sharing event information

Events
CONTACT INFORMATION

Carrie Sibert

- Community Liaison Coordinator
- Office #: 385-468-6054
- Cell #: 385-232-4174
- Email: csibert@slco.org

Jami Johanson

- Program Coordinator
- Office #: 385-468-6042
- Cell #: 801-870-4264
- Email: jjohanson@slco.org

Marcy Seale

- Animal Control Officer
- Main #: 385-468-7387
- Cell #: 385-222-5152
- Email: mseale@slco.org

Salt Lake County Animal Services
511 West 3900 South
Salt Lake City, UT 84123
Tel 385-468-7387
Fax 385-468-6028
Dispatch 801-743-7045
slco.org/animal-services/
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Call Description</th>
<th>AN Code</th>
<th>JAN</th>
<th>FEB</th>
<th>MAR</th>
<th>YTD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P/U Owned Animal</td>
<td>AN01</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/U Stray Cat / Confined</td>
<td>AN02C</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/U Stray Dog / Confined</td>
<td>AN02D</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Bite</td>
<td>AN04</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Bite - Delayed Report</td>
<td>AN04DR</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Bite in Progress - Current Danger</td>
<td>AN04IP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog At Large / Owner / Has Occurred</td>
<td>AN05</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog At Large / In Progress / Owner Address</td>
<td>AN05IP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead Animal - Street / No Traffic Hazard</td>
<td>AN06</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead Animal - Traffic Problem</td>
<td>AN06IP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead Animal on Property</td>
<td>AN07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barking Dog / Noise Nuisance</td>
<td>AN09</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlicensed Dog</td>
<td>AN10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicious Dog has Occurred</td>
<td>AN11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicious Dog / Respond @ Set Time</td>
<td>AN11DR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Check w/ Complainant - Waiting</td>
<td>AN13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruelty Investigation</td>
<td>AN14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injured Animal in Progress - Current Danger</td>
<td>AN16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canyon or Park Patrol</td>
<td>AN17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canyon or Park Patrol / Respond @ Set Time</td>
<td>AN17DR</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit Inspection</td>
<td>AN19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigate Stray / Has Occurred</td>
<td>AN20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stray Dog Investigation in Progress</td>
<td>AN20IP</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal in Distress / Rescue</td>
<td>AN26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odor / Feces Problem</td>
<td>AN27</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education / PR Presentation</td>
<td>AN29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Assignment</td>
<td>AN32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Assist Other Agency In Progress</td>
<td>AN33</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Assist Law Enforcement in Progress</td>
<td>AN33IP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court</td>
<td>AN34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat in a trap</td>
<td>AN37</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird Rescue</td>
<td>AN38</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Patrol</td>
<td>AN39</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Patrol Delayed Response</td>
<td>AN39DR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Foul Problem / Nuisance</td>
<td>AN42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Quarantine Release</td>
<td>AN44</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal(s) in Vehicle / In Distress</td>
<td>AN45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barking Dog / ANON Complainant</td>
<td>AN48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trap, Neuter, Release</td>
<td>AN52</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Found/Lost Animal</td>
<td>AN53</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Totals                                             | 126   | 148 | 129 | 403 |
## 2018 1st Quarter MIDVALE (47)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUMMARY</th>
<th>AN Code</th>
<th>JAN</th>
<th>FEB</th>
<th>MAR</th>
<th>1ST QTR SUMMARY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Animal Bite</td>
<td>AN04</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Bite/Cat</td>
<td>AN04C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Bite/Cat Delayed Report</td>
<td>AN04CD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Bite - Delayed Report</td>
<td>AN04DR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Bite in Progress - Current Danger</td>
<td>AN04IP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Animal Bites</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicious Dog has Occurred</td>
<td>AN11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicious Dog / Respond @ Set Time</td>
<td>AN11DR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicious Dog in Progress - Current Danger</td>
<td>AN11IP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Vicious Dogs Calls</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Cruelty Investigation</td>
<td>AN14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Cruelty Investigation - In Progress</td>
<td>AN14IP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Animal Cruelty Investigations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canyon or Park Patrol</td>
<td>AN17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canyon or Park Patrol / Respond @ Set Time</td>
<td>AN17DR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Canyon/Park Patrols</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock Problem Has Occurred</td>
<td>AN23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock Problem In Progress Current Danger</td>
<td>AN23IP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Livestock Problem</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Patrol</td>
<td>AN39</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Patrol Delayed Response</td>
<td>AN39DR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Area Patrols</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animals in Vehicles</td>
<td>AN45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Animal in Vehicles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TOTAL NUMBER OF CALLS

- Number of calls after 1700 (5pm) to 0700: 403
- Number of weekend calls: 126

### AVERAGE RESPONSE TIMES (in minutes)

- Priority 1: 19, 20, 18
- Priority 2: 28, 53, 44
- Priority 3: 42, 64, 51
SALT LAKE COUNTY ORDINANCE

ORDINANCE NO. ___________________________ ___________________________ 2015

AN ORDINANCE OF THE LEGISLATIVE BODY OF SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH, ENACTING SECTION 8.03.035 OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES, 2001, RELATING TO THE SALE OF DOGS, CATS AND RABBITS AT COMMERCIAL ANIMAL ESTABLISHMENTS IN SALT LAKE COUNTY

The County Legislative Body of Salt Lake County ordains as follows:

SECTION I. The amendments made herein are designated by underlining the new words.

SECTION II. Section 8.03.035 of the Salt Lake County Code of Ordinances, 2001, is enacted to read as follows:

8.03.035 Sale of dogs, cats and rabbits at commercial animal establishments.

A. It is unlawful for any person to display, offer for sale, deliver, barter, auction, give away, transfer, or sell any live dog, cat, or rabbit in any pet shop, retail business, or other commercial animal establishment located in the County, unless the dog, cat, or rabbit was obtained from an animal shelter. All pet shops, retail businesses, or other commercial animal establishments selling dogs, cats, or rabbits shall maintain a certificate of source for each of the animals and make it available upon request to animal control officers, law enforcement, code compliance officials or any other County or other employee charged with enforcing the provisions of this section.

B. For purposes of this section, a certificate of source is defined as any document from the source animal shelter declaring the source of the dog, cat, or rabbit on the premises of the pet shop, retail business or other commercial animal establishment.
C. This section shall not apply to the display, offer for sale, delivery, bartering, auction, giving away, transfer, or sale of dogs, cats or rabbits from the premises on which they were bred and reared.

D. Nothing in this section shall prevent the owner, operator, or employees of a pet shop, retail business, or other commercial animal establishment located in the County from providing space and appropriate care for animals owned by an animal shelter and maintaining those animals at the pet shop, retail business, or other commercial animal establishment for the purpose of public adoption.

SECTION III. This ordinance shall become effective fifteen (15) days after its passage and upon at least one publication of the ordinance or a summary thereof in a newspaper published and having general circulation in Salt Lake County.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this ___ day of ________________, 2015.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL:

By: ____________________________
   Richard Snelgrove, Chair
Date: ___________________________

ATTEST:

_____________________________________
Sherrie Swensen
Salt Lake County Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____________________________________
Adam Miller
Deputy District Attorney
Date: 29 July 2015
Prohibiting the Sale of Companion Animals in Pet Stores

The Humane Society of Utah offers the following talking points in support of establishing a city ordinance that would require pet stores to obtain dogs, cats, and rabbits from shelters and rescues. We encourage pet stores to become part of the pet overpopulation solution rather than continuing to add to the problem.

More than 230 cities in the U.S. have laws that regulate the sale of companion animals. Pet store ordinances have been upheld in 4 federal district courts (in Rhode Island, Florida, Illinois, and Arizona), and in courts in Chicago and New York City, and have never been struck down.

- **Pet store ordinances protect consumers**
  - Pet stores do not obtain dogs from responsible breeders because responsible breeders do not sell puppies to pet stores. Ninety-six percent of national clubs include statements in their Codes of Ethics that their breeders should not and/or do not sell to pet stores.
  - A local ordinance would not affect responsible breeders selling from their homes.

- **Pet store puppies are often sick and have behavioral problems**
  - Puppies in pet stores are often sick because they are born into deplorable conditions, taken from their mothers very early, exposed to a wide range of diseases, and very susceptible to genetic disorders.
  - A 2013 study published in the *Journal of American Veterinary Medicine*, titled “Differences in behavioral characteristics between dogs obtained as puppies from pet stores and those obtained from noncommercial breeders,” concluded that obtaining dogs from pet stores versus noncommercial breeders represented a significant risk factor for the development of a wide range of undesirable behavioral characteristics, especially aggressive behavior and biting. Due to the results of the study, the authors stated that they could not recommend that puppies be obtained from pet stores.

- **Pet shop ordinances are business friendly**
  - Pet store ordinances are designed to require pet stores to obtain animals from humane sources, not to put pet stores out of business.
  - The largest and most successful pet store chains in the country (PetSmart and Petco) do not sell puppies.
  - Humane model pet store owners credit their success to having a better reputation in the community.
Pet shop ordinances support shelters and rescues
  ○ Ordinances encouraging consumers to adopt lessens the burden on shelters that take in pet store dogs. Data show that shelter intake and euthanasia rates decline in cities that prohibit the sale of puppy mill dogs.
  ○ Many pet store dogs end up in shelters because they come with a wide range of behavioral problems—a result of a lack of necessary socialization.

Federal and state laws do not protect consumers or dogs
  ○ Utah does not have a Pet Lemon Law.
  ○ The AKC, who is funded by the puppy mill industry, agrees that “the best way for a person to obtain a new pet is through personal interaction with the pet’s breeder and the pet under consideration.” When purchasing a puppy from a pet store, this is simply not possible.
  ○ USDA explains on its website FAQ page, “we do not ‘certify’ establishments. . . a USDA license is not a ‘seal of approval’ but rather a legal designation that a facility has successfully passed its pre-license inspection and is legally entitled to use regulated animals for regulated activities.”
  ○ Even if a breeder complies with all USDA requirements, a breeder can keep animals in extremely inhumane conditions.

City residents should not have to accept the importing of puppies from puppy mills or backyard breeders while their tax dollars are spent sheltering and euthanizing homeless dogs in shelters.


Thank you for considering such an important ordinance.
ORDINANCE 18-12

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 3, CHAPTER 1, SECTION 27, SALE OF ANIMALS, OF THE REVISED ORDINANCES OF SANDY CITY, BY ADOPTING REVISIONS THERETO AND ALSO PROVIDING A SAVING CLAUSE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE FOR THE ORDINANCE.

WHEREAS, the City Council of Sandy City has determined that prohibiting the sale of any dog, cat, or rabbit in any pet shop, retail business, or other commercial establishment located in the City of Sandy, Utah, unless the dog, cat, or rabbit was obtained from a city or county animal shelter or animal control agency, a humane society, or a non-profit rescue organization will protect the public health, safety, and welfare of the City; and

WHEREAS, Section 10-8-84, Utah Code Annotated, authorizes such amendment in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare of the City;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of Sandy City as follows:

Section 1. Title 3, Chapter 1, Section 27, of the Revised Ordinances of Sandy City is hereby amended and replaced by the revised copy of Title 3, Chapter 1, Section 27, which is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated in this ordinance.

Section 2. All former ordinances or parts thereof conflicting or inconsistent with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 3. The provisions of this ordinance shall be severable; and if any provision thereof, or the application of such provision under any circumstance is held invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, it shall not affect any other provision of this ordinance, or the application in a different circumstance.

Section 4. This ordinance shall become effective upon publication of a summary thereof.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Sandy City Council this ___ day of ________, 2018.

________________________________________
Linda Martinez Saville, Chairman
Sandy City Council
PRESENTED to the Mayor this ___ day of __________, 2018.
APPROVED by the Mayor this ___ day of __________, 2018.

________________________
Kurt Bradburn, Mayor

ATTEST:

________________________
City Recorder

RECORDED this ___ day of __________, 2018.
SUMMARY PUBLISHED this ___ day of __________, 2018.
States with Retail Pet Sale Bans


Retail pet store sales bans are a welcome trend that is putting the squeeze on commercial breeding operations. Here is a list of the jurisdictions in the United States and Canada which have passed such legislation.

To view the full list in chronological order, click here.

Jump to a specific location by clicking on the states below and click on the jurisdiction to read the ordinance.

California | Colorado | Florida | Georgia | Illinois | Indiana | Iowa | Maine | Maryland | Massachusetts | Michigan | Minnesota | Nevada | New Jersey | New Mexico | New York | Ohio | Pennsylvania | Rhode Island | Texas | Utah | Washington | Wyoming | Canada

CALIFORNIA

South Lake Tahoe, CA – Enacted April 2009; effective May 2011
West Hollywood, CA – Enacted February 2010; effective March 2010
Hermosa Beach, CA – Enacted March 2010; effective April 2010
Turlock, CA – Enacted May 2010; effective June 2010
Glendale, CA – Enacted August 2011; effective August 2012
Irvine, CA – Enacted October 2011; effective immediately
Chula Vista, CA – Enacted March 2012; effective April 2012

Laguna Beach, CA (Title 6, Chapter 6.12.160) – Enacted May 2012; effective immediately

Aliso Viejo, CA (Title 6, Chapter 6.02.120) – Enacted May 16, 2012; effective immediately

Huntington Beach, CA – Enacted June 2012; effective June 2014

Los Angeles, CA – Enacted October 2012; effective June 2013 (revised language)

Burbank, CA – Enacted February 2013; effective August 2013

Rancho Mirage, CA (Section 6.80.065) – Enacted February 2013; effective March 2013

San Diego, CA – Enacted July 2013; effective September 2013

Ventura County, CA (unincorporated areas) (Section 4428) – Enacted December 2013; effective December 2014

Chino Hills, CA – Enacted October 2014; effective November 2014

Oceanside, CA – Enacted January 2015; effective September 2015

Long Beach, CA – Enacted March 2015; effective October 2015

Garden Grove, CA – Enacted March 2015; effective March 2016

Encinitas, CA – Enacted July 2015; effective immediately

Beverly Hills, CA – Enacted August 2015; effective September 2015

Vista, CA – Enacted September 2015; effective October 2015

Palm Springs, CA – Enacted October 2015; effective immediately

San Marcos, CA – Enacted January 2016; effective February 2016

Cathedral City, CA – Enacted January 2016; effective February 2016

Truckee, CA – Enacted February 2016; effective immediately

Indio, CA – Enacted April 2016; effective immediately

La Quinta, CA – Enacted April 2016; effective May 2016

Carlsbad, CA – Enacted May 2016; effective June 2016

Colton, CA – Enacted June 2016; effective July 2016

Solana Beach, CA – Enacted July 2016; effective immediately


Sacramento, CA – Enacted May 2017; effective immediately

South Pasadena, CA – Enacted June 2017; effective July 2017

Del Mar, CA – Enacted August 2017; effective September 2017

The State of California (Assembly Bill 485) – Enacted October 2017; effective January 2019

COLORADO

Fountain, CO – Enacted May 2011; effective May 2011
Flagler Beach, FL – Enacted June 2009; effective immediately
Lake Worth, FL – Enacted February 2011; effective February 2011
Coral Gables, FL (applies to dogs only)
Opa-Locka, FL (applies to dogs only)
North Bay Village, FL (applies to dogs only)
Hallandale Beach, FL – Enacted April 2012; effective immediately
Margate, FL – Enacted October 2013; effective immediately
Pinecrest, FL – Enacted October 2013; effective immediately
Palmetto Bay, FL – Enacted December 2013; effective immediately
Coconut Creek, FL – Enacted January 2014; effective immediately
Wellington, FL – Enacted January 2014; effective immediately
Surfside, FL – Enacted February 2014; effective immediately
Aventura, FL – Enacted March 2014; effective immediately
Wilton Manors, FL – Enacted March 2014; effective immediately
Greenacres, FL – Enacted April 2014; effective immediately
North Lauderdale, FL – Enacted April 2014; effective immediately
Bay Harbor Islands, FL – Enacted April 2014; effective immediately
Pompano Beach, FL – Enacted May 2104; effective immediately
North Miami Beach, FL – Enacted May 2014; effective immediately
Miami Beach, FL – Enacted May 2014; effective January 2015
Bal Harbour, FL – Enacted May 2014; effective immediately
Sunny Isles Beach, FL – Enacted May 2014; effective immediately
Dania Beach, FL – Enacted June 2014; effective immediately
Palm Beach Gardens, FL – Enacted July 2014; effective immediately
Juno Beach, FL – Enacted July 2014; effective immediately
Cutler Bay, FL – Enacted August 2014; effective immediately
North Palm Beach, FL – Enacted August 2014; effective immediately
Hypoluxo, FL – Enacted September 2014; effective immediately
Jupiter, FL - Enacted October 2014; effective immediately
Homestead, FL – Enacted October 2014; effective immediately
Tamarac, FL – Enacted December 2014; effective immediately
Palm Beach, FL – Enacted January 2015; effective immediately
North Miami, FL – Enacted April 2015; effective immediately
Fernandina Beach, FL – Enacted July 2015; effective immediately
Jacksonville Beach, FL – Enacted August 2015; effective immediately
Deerfield Beach, FL – Enacted November 2015; effective May 2016
West Melbourne, FL – Enacted November 2015; effective immediately
Casselberry, FL – Enacted November 2015; effective immediately
Neptune Beach, FL – Enacted January 2016; effective February 2016
Sarasota County, FL – Enacted January 2016; effective January 2017
South Miami, FL – Enacted January 2016; effective immediately
Delray Beach, FL – Enacted March 2016; effective immediately
Hollywood, FL – Enacted June 2016; effective December 2016
St. Petersburg, FL – Enacted July 2016; effective immediately
Key West, FL – Enacted August 2016; effective immediately
Miramar, FL – Enacted August 2016; effective immediately
Palm Beach County, FL – Enacted September 2016; effective November 2016 (applies only to new pet stores as of 10-1-16)
Safety Harbor, FL – Enacted November 2016; effective immediately
Holmes Beach, FL – Enacted February 2017; effective immediately
Fort Lauderdale, FL – Enacted June 2017; effective immediately
DeSoto County, FL – Enacted July 2017; effective immediately
Oakland Park, FL – Enacted December 2017; effective immediately
Seminole County, FL (unincorporated areas) – Enacted February 2018; effective immediately
Atlantic Beach, FL – Enacted March 2018; effective immediately

GEORGIA

Canton, GA – Enacted March 2017; effective immediately
Holly Springs, GA – Enacted May 2017; effective immediately
Waleska, GA – Enacted May 2017; effective immediately
Woodstock, GA – Enacted June 2017; effective immediately
Senoia, GA – Enacted November 2017; effective immediately
Sandy Springs, GA – Enacted November 2017; effective February 2017
Ball Ground, GA – Enacted January 2018; effective immediately
Centerville, GA – Enacted January 2018; effective immediately

ILLINOIS

Waukegan, IL – Enacted June 2012; effective immediately
Cook County, IL – Enacted April 2014; effective October 2014

Warrenville, IL – Enacted February 2016; effective immediately

Crest Hill, IL – Enacted October 2017; effective January 2018

INDIANA

St. Joseph County, IN (unincorporated areas) – Enacted May 2017; effective immediately

IOWA

Fraser, IA – Enacted October 2017; effective immediately

MAINE

Portland, ME – Enacted September 2016; effective immediately

Bar Harbor, ME – Enacted January 2017; effective January 2018

MARYLAND

Montgomery County, MD – Enacted March 2015; effective June 2015

The State of Maryland (House Bill 1662) – Enacted April 2018; effective January 2020

MASSACHUSETTS

Boston, MA – Enacted March 2016; effective immediately

Stoneham, MA – Enacted May 2017; effective immediately

Cambridge, MA – Enacted August 2017; effective November 2017

MICHIGAN

Eastpointe, MI – Enacted September 2015; effective January 2016

Memphis, MI – Enacted September 2015; effective immediately

New Baltimore, MI – Enacted November 2015; effective November 2016

Fraser, MI – Enacted December 2015; effective immediately

MINNESOTA

Roseville, MN – Enacted March 2017; effective September 2017

Eden Prairie, MN – Enacted May 2018; effective immediately

NEVADA

Mesquite, NV – Enacted May 2016; effective June 2016

North Las Vegas, NV – Enacted December 2016; effective immediately

NEW JERSEY

Point Pleasant, NJ – Enacted May 2012; effective immediately

Brick, NJ – Enacted July 2012; effective immediately
Point Pleasant Beach, NJ – Enacted October 2012; effective immediately
Hoboken, NJ – Enacted May 2013; effective immediately
Oceanport, NJ – Enacted August 2013; effective immediately
North Brunswick, NJ – Enacted October 2013; effective November 2013 (cat ordinance)
Randolph, NJ – Enacted September 2014; effective immediately
Camden County, NJ – Enacted September 2015; effective immediately
Voorhees, NJ – Enacted October 2015; effective immediately
Brooklawn, NJ – Enacted October 2015; effective immediately
Audubon, NJ – Enacted October 2015; effective immediately
Waterford, NJ – Enacted October 2015; effective January 2016
Cherry Hill, NJ – Enacted November 2015; effective immediately
Merchantville, NJ – Enacted November 2015; effective immediately
Runnemede, NJ – Enacted December 2015; effective March 2016
Somerdale, NJ – Enacted December 2015; effective March 2016
Laurel Springs, NJ – Enacted December 2015; effective March 2016
Oaklyn, NJ – Enacted December 2015; effective immediately
Westville, NJ – Enacted December 2015; effective March 2016
Haddon Heights, NJ – Enacted December 2015; effective March 2016
Gloucester Township, NJ – Enacted December 2015; effective January 2016
Glassboro, NJ – Enacted December 2015; effective March 2016
Magnolia, NJ – Enacted December 2015; effective March 2016
Bellmawr, NJ – Enacted January 2016; effective immediately
Berlin Township, NJ – Enacted February 2016; effective May 2016
Clementon, NJ – Enacted March 2016; effective June 2016
Pine Hill, NJ – Enacted March 2016; effective immediately
Haddon Township, NJ – Enacted March 2016; effective immediately
Winslow, NJ – Enacted March 2016; effective immediately
Jackson, NJ – Enacted March 2016; effective immediately
Collingswood, NJ – Enacted April 2016; effective immediately
Audubon Park, NJ – Enacted April 2016; effective immediately
Mount Ephraim, NJ – Enacted April 2016; effective immediately
Barrington, NJ – Enacted April 2016; effective immediately
Berlin Borough, NJ – Enacted April 2016; effective immediately
Gloucester City, NJ – Enacted April 2016; effective immediately

Chesilhurst, NJ – Enacted May 2016; effective August 2016

Greenwich, NJ – Enacted May 2016; effective June 2016

Pennsauken, NJ – Enacted May 2016; effective June 2016

Beverly, NJ – Enacted May 2016; effective immediately

Clayton, NJ – Enacted May 2016; effective August 2016

Mantua, NJ – Enacted May 2016; effective immediately

Gibbsboro, NJ – Enacted June 2016; effective September 2016

Little Ferry, NJ – Enacted June 2016; effective September 2016

Wyckoff, NJ – Enacted June 2016; effective immediately

Washington Township (Gloucester County), NJ – Enacted June 2016; effective July 2016

Lindenwold, NJ – Enacted June 2016; effective immediately

Hackensack, NJ – Enacted June 2016; effective September 2016

Bordentown, NJ – Enacted June 2016; effective immediately

Hi-Nella, NJ – Enacted June 2016; effective September 2016

Mount Holly, NJ – Enacted July 2016; effective October 2016

Pitman, NJ – Enacted July 2016; effective October 2016

Camden City, NJ – Enacted July 2016; effective August 2016

Maywood, NJ – Enacted July 2016; effective immediately

East Rutherford, NJ – Enacted July 2016; effective October 2016

Union City, NJ – Enacted July 2016; effective immediately

Glen Rock, NJ – Enacted July 2016; effective October 2016

Woodlynne, NJ – Enacted July 2016; effective October 2016

Woodcliff Lake, NJ – Enacted August 2016; effective immediately

Saddle Brook, NJ – Enacted August 2016; effective November 2016

Washington Township (Burlington County), NJ – Enacted August 2016; effective immediately

Upper Saddle River, NJ – Enacted September 2016; effective immediately

Eatontown, NJ – Enacted September 2016; effective December 2016

Swedesboro, NJ – Enacted September 2016; effective December 2016

Ridgefield, NJ – Enacted September 2016; effective December 2016

Fanwood, NJ – Enacted September 2016; effective immediately

Fairview, NJ – Enacted September 2016; effective December 2016

Wallington, NJ – Enacted September 2016; effective immediately
Hamilton, NJ – Enacted September 2016; effective October 2016
Ridgewood, NJ – Enacted October 2016; effective November 2016
Edgewater, NJ – Enacted October 2016; effective January 2016
Woodbury Heights, NJ – Enacted October 2016; effective immediately
Marlboro, NJ – Enacted October 2016; effective January 2017
Fair Lawn, NJ – Enacted October 2016; effective immediately
Ocean, NJ – Enacted October 2016; effective November 2016
North Arlington, NJ – Enacted November 2016; effective immediately
Watchung, NJ – Enacted November 2016; effective immediately
Frenchtown, NJ – Enacted December 2016; effective March 2017
Palisades Park, NJ – Enacted December 2016; effective immediately
Union Beach, NJ – Enacted December 2016; effective immediately
Cliffside Park, NJ – Enacted December 2016; effective immediately
Stratford, NJ – Enacted February 2017; effective May 2017
Burlington, NJ – Enacted February 2017; effective March 2017
Bradley Beach, NJ – Enacted January 2017; effective immediately
Haddonfield, NJ – Enacted February 2017; effective March 2017
Bound Brook, NJ – Enacted February 2017; effective immediately
Livingston, NJ – Enacted March 2017; effective June 2017
Franklin, NJ – Enacted March 2017; effective June 2017
Manalapan, NJ – Enacted April 2017; effective immediately
Scotch Plains, NJ – Enacted April 2017; effective immediately
Lodi, NJ – Enacted April 2017; effective immediately
Secaucus, NJ – Enacted March 2017; effective immediately
East Newark, NJ – Enacted April 2017; effective July 2017
Roselle Park, NJ – Enacted May 2017; effective immediately
Harrison (Gloucester County), NJ – Enacted May 2017; effective immediately
Brielle, NJ – Enacted May 2017; effective immediately
Caldwell, NJ – Enacted June 2017; effective immediately
Maple Shade, NJ – Enacted June 2017; effective immediately
North Plainfield, NJ – Enacted June 2017; effective July 2017
Asbury Park, NJ – Enacted June 2017; effective July 2017
Matawan, NJ – Enacted June 2017; effective immediately
Hopewell Borough, NJ – Enacted August 2017; effective immediately
Springfield, NJ – Enacted September 2017; effective December 2017
Cranford, NJ – Enacted October 2017; effective immediately
Nutley, NJ – Enacted October 2017; effective January 2018
Moorestown, NJ – Enacted October 2017; effective November 2017
Rahway, NJ – Enacted November 2017; effective immediately
Lawrence, NJ – Enacted February 2018; effective March 2018
Holmdel, NJ – Enacted February 2018; effective May 2018
Barnegeat, NJ – Enacted March 2018; effective immediately
Westfield, NJ – Enacted April 2018; effective immediately

NEW MEXICO
Albuquerque, NM – Enacted June 2006; effective August 2007
Bernalillo County, NM (unincorporated areas) – Enacted February 2013; effective August 2013
Rio Rancho, NM – Enacted April 2017; effective November 2017 (Section 6)

NEW YORK
Mamaroneck Village, NY – Enacted February 2016; effective immediately
Mount Pleasant, NY – Enacted March 2016; effective immediately
Rye Brook, NY – Enacted August 2016; effective immediately
Yorktown, NY – Enacted July 2016; effective immediately
Port Chester, New York – Enacted October 2016; effective immediately

OHIO
Toledo, OH – Enacted December 2013; effective January 2014
Grove City, OH – Enacted March 2016; effective January 2017

PENNSYLVANIA
Pittsburgh, PA – Enacted December 2015; effective June 2016

RHODE ISLAND
East Providence, RI – Enacted June 2014; effective immediately
Warwick, RI – Enacted July 2017; effective August 2017

TEXAS
El Paso, TX – Enacted October 2010; effective January 2011

Tennessee
Nashville, TN – Enacted April 2010; effective April 2013
UTAH
Salt Lake County, UT (unincorporated areas) – Enacted October 2015; effective immediately
Sandy City, UT – Enacted May 2018; effective immediately

WASHINGTON
Bainbridge Island, WA – Enacted June 2017; effective July 2017
Bremerton, WA – Enacted September 2017; effective immediately
Poulsbo, WA – Enacted January 2018; effective immediately

WYOMING
Rock Springs, WY – Enacted April 2018; effective immediately

CANADA
Richmond, British Columbia (Canada) – Enacted November 2010; effective April 2011
Toronto, Ontario (Canada) – Enacted September 2011, effective September 2012
Rosemont-La Petite Patrie, Quebec (Canada) – Enacted December 2011; effective immediately
Mississauga, Ontario (Canada) – Enacted July 2012; effective January 2013
New Westminster, British Columbia (Canada) – Enacted November 2012; effective immediately
Kingston, Ontario (Canada) – Enacted August 2013; effective November 2013
Vaughan, Ontario (Canada) – Enacted April 2014; effective immediately
Hudson, Quebec (Canada) – Enacted September 2014; effective immediately
Waterloo, Ontario (Canada) – Enacted September 2014; effective January 2015
Mount Royal, Quebec (Canada) – Enacted May 2015; effective immediately
Beaconsfield, Quebec (Canada) – Enacted December 2015; effective immediately
Ottawa, Ontario (Canada) – Enacted April 2016; effective immediately
Oakville, Ontario (Canada) – Enacted November 2015; effective immediately
Cambridge, Ontario (Canada) – Enacted October 2016; effective immediately
Delta, British Columbia (Canada) – Enacted October 2017; effective November 2017
Vancouver, British Columbia (Canada) – Enacted December 2017; effective immediately
Surrey, British Columbia (Canada) – Enacted March 2018; effective June 2018

RECOMMENDED FOR YOU
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New Salt Lake County ordinance mandates pet stores sell only shelter animals

By Katie McKellar  @KatieMcKellar1
Published: October 6, 2015 6:35 pm

SALT LAKE CITY — With an aim to discourage puppy mills, Salt Lake County on Tuesday became the first Utah municipality to pass an ordinance outlawing pet stores from selling dogs, cats or rabbits unless they come from animal shelters.

The law will not affect any existing pet stores because it only applies to the unincorporated area of the county, but County Councilman Arlyn Bradshaw said he hopes it will set a precedent for other Utah cities.

"No one's business is at stake by us passing this, but it does send a message that as a community we value our dogs, cats and rabbits, and that we want to ensure that they're not viewed solely as a product for profit," said Bradshaw, who is also executive director of the Best Friends Animal Society of Utah.

Gene Baierschmidt, executive director of the Humane Society of Utah, released a statement Tuesday in support of the council's decision. Resale of animals through pet stores has been a "contributing factor" in pet overpopulation, he said, because the animals are not spayed or neutered when they're sold.

"We usually see irresponsible backyard breeders or puppy mills producing high quantities of animals to be sold in pet stores, leading to pet overpopulation and needless animal suffering," Baierschmidt said. "There are plenty of great animals available for adoption in shelters and rescues."

The County Council passed the ordinance 6-1, with Councilwoman Aimee Winder Newton casting the lone dissenting vote.

"I'm not a big fan of government imposing undue regulations on businesses, especially when it's a regulation for regulation sake," Newton said. "We aren't having an issue with this currently in unincorporated Salt Lake County, so I'm having a hard time wrapping my arms around passing an ordinance when we really don't know who it might affect in the future."

Todd Poulsen, owner of Mark's Ark Pet Store in Taylorsville, said he worries that city officials will follow the county's example and pass similar ordinances, putting his businesses in jeopardy.

Poulsen said his store obtains puppies, kittens and rabbits from both shelters and professional breeders.

"Puppy mills don't exist like that. Those days are long gone," he said. "Why try to take it out of the hands of a legitimate business? It just leaves the industry to unprofessional backyard breeders."

But Bradshaw said the ordinance won't affect responsible breeders because they traditionally work directly with consumers and don't use pet stores as middlemen.

"Nothing in this ordinance prevents a breeder from directly selling to a consumer," he said.

Bradshaw noted that the county passed an anti-puppy mill ordinance in 2010 requiring unincorporated county breeders to obtain a license. Under the ordinance, if a breeder is convicted of animal cruelty within five years of seeking a license, they will be denied.

"We have already made the choice in our community that we want our shelter to be a no-kill facility, and this is one more arrow in that quiver, one more tool in the toolbox to help us keep that going forward," Bradshaw said.

Poulsen said the ordinance paints pet shop owners in a "bad light" and makes shelters like the Humane Society and Best Friends the "only game in town" for selling cats and dogs. Both organizations are nonprofit.

Nearly 90 municipalities around the U.S. have passed similar ordinances, including Los Angeles, San Diego, and Austin, Texas.
Should you be able to buy a new puppy at a pet store?
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(Sandwich) Media Not Supported by Print)

- Pair accused of stealing Jeep from Sandy garage, returning in Jeep to burglarize home
- Man accused of exposing himself to boy in mall bathroom
- Report describes former Sandy police chief as ‘touchy feely’
- Sandy City considering banning pet stores from selling pets in stores unless they are rescues

SANDY, Utah (News4Utah) UPDATE: The ordinance to end the sale of companion animals in pet stores passed unanimously Tuesday evening.

Pet stores in Sandy may soon be banned from selling companion animals unless they come from a shelter or a rescue group.

For dogs, cats and rabbits, Sandy city councilwoman Kristin Nicholl wants owners to think adoption and skip the pet store.

"I think people need to be aware of what they’re buying from a puppy store," Nicholl said.

Citing consumer safety, Nicholl wants the amendment to decrease the demand on puppy mills, where dogs are often sick and susceptible to genetic disorders.

Nichol proposed the policy amendment earlier this year, even while nothing would immediately change.

"In this instance, we don’t have a pet store. We haven’t had a pet store in Sandy in ten years so it’s a good time to do it," Nicholl said.

By doing it now, she said it would not cause problems or lost revenue for current businesses.

If passed, Sandy City would join more than 230 others regulating the sale of companion animals. When it happens, the Humane Society of Utah said fewer animals are given up for adoption and euthanasia rates drop.

"We hope that more cities and counties pass a pet store ordinance like this because we don’t need to
Sandy City council members will vote on the policy amendment May 1.

In 2015, Salt Lake County passed an ordinance to end the sale of dogs, cats and rabbits in pet stores. The law does not affect any existing pet store and only applies to the unincorporated area of the county, but will prohibit any future pet stores from opening.
Talking Cats and Dogs

Sandy approves ordinance to ban sale of dogs, cats and rabbits—unless they come from reputable source.

Posted By Ray Howze on May 2, 2018, 1:06 PM

On Tuesday night in Sandy, it was all about the cats and dogs.

More than one year after the Sandy City Animal Shelter transitioned to a no-kill facility, the city council unanimously approved a new ordinance to ban the sale of dogs, cats and rabbits from pet stores within city limits in order to encourage better adoption practices.

The proposal, District 3 Councilwoman and sponsor Kristin Coleman-Nicholl told City Weekly, is “just another step in creating an animal-friendly community.”

“You can have a pet store in Sandy but the animals have to come from a shelter or rescue,” she said. “This doesn’t prevent anyone from picking a dog they want from somewhere else.”

The ordinance will make it unlawful for any person to sell a dog, cat or rabbit unless it came from a “city or county animal shelter or animal control agency, a humane society, or a non-profit animal rescue organization.” The seller will also be required to keep documentation on where the pet came from.

No existing pet stores would currently be affected by the proposal—it’s more of a preemptive measure, Coleman-Nicholl said.

The Humane Society of Utah, Best Friends Animal Society and other animal supporters also supported the ordinance Tuesday. Deann Shepherd, communications director for the Humane Society, said places such as Petco and PetSmart work with the local shelters to adopt these types of animals as opposed to bringing them in from puppy mills or “backyard breeders”—puppies or kittens that might not come from professional breeders and could be more susceptible to diseases.
Coleman-Nicholl said she received more than 40 emails—all voicing support—about the ordinance and opposition has been minimal to none ahead of the City Council’s meeting Tuesday night. Residents expressed concern in February when a pet store applied for a permit with the city but was not approved. That pet store, Puppy Matchmakers, ultimately opened in West Jordan.

“There are residents that are concerned there will be a pet store coming and they are adamantly against it,” Coleman-Nicholl said.

More than 10 people spoke in support of the ordinance at Tuesday’s meeting.

In 2015, Salt Lake County passed a similar measure to prevent any types of these pet stores from opening in unincorporated parts of the county. More than 200 cities in the U.S. have similar laws that regulate the sale of companion animals, according to a news release from the Humane Society of Utah.

People can also still purchase from breeders. The worry, Shepherd said, is the potential for puppies to be brought in from a puppy mill and sold for a profit from a store. Then, if the puppy became ill shortly after purchase, the new owner would be responsible for all of its costs. On the other hand, if the pet is secured from a place such as the Humane Society, they can bring it back within 10 days. It’s a “buyer-beware world,” Shepherd said.

“When you’re looking to purchase a purebred dog from a reputable breeder, it wouldn’t stop them from selling from their home,” she said. “It's just to encourage responsible behavior.”